UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,484	02/14/2005	Glen Ernst	100793-1P US	8633	
	22466 7590 07/01/2008 ASTRA ZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP			EXAMINER	
GLOBAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY		
	CONCORD PIKE MINGTON, DE 19850-5437		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/524,484	ERNST ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brenda L. Coleman	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Mar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-15 and 19-23 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,12,13,15 and 21-23 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-11,14,19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable.	is/are withdrawn from considerangler is/are withdrawn from considerangler is is/are withdrawn from considerangler is/are with	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/28/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-15, 19 and 20 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicants' amendment filed May 28, 2008. Claims 1, 11, 14 and 19 have been amended.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendments filed May 28, 2008 have been fully considered with the following effect:

- 1. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection labeled paragraph 4) in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 2. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejection labeled paragraph 5) in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 3. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 103, obviousness rejection labeled paragraph 6) in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 4. With regards to the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection as being unpatentable over copending Application No. 10/524,482 of the last office action, the applicants' requested that this rejection be held in abeyance at this time.

Claims 1-4, 6-11, 14, 19 and 20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially

created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending Application No. 10/524,482, for reasons of record.

5. With regards to the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection as being unpatentable over copending Application No. 10/583,576 of the last office action, the applicants' requested that this rejection be held in abeyance at this time.

Claims 1-4, 6-11, 14, 19 and 20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending Application No. 10/583,576, for reasons of record.

In view of the amendment dated May 28, 2008, the following new grounds of rejection apply:

Specification

- 6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the amendment filed May 28, 2008 contains amendments to the specification which have the following informalities:
 - a. The applicants' attempt to insert a comma between CF₃ and NR¹R² on page 2, 4th paragraph resulted in a line through the comma indicating the deletion.
 - b. The applicants' amendment to the second full paragraph on page 4 includes a typo where the carbon count of the alkyl are not subscripts, i.e. C_{1-4} alkyl.

Appropriate correction is required.

Election/Restrictions

7. Claims 5, 12, 13, 15 and 21-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on December 4, 2007.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda L. Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brenda L. Coleman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624